

Case Docket No. CARMO.001A

Date: November 3, 2005

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s)

Wayne Carmona

Appl. No.

10/630,132

Filed

July 30, 2003

For

FLEX GRIP FIXTURE CLAMP

Group Art Unit

3632

Class/Sub-Class

248-229140

Examiner

Anita M. King

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

November 3, 2005

(Date)

Andrew M. Douglas, Reg. No. 51,212

#### TRANSMITTAL LETTER

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing is the Issue Fee for the above-identified application:

- Form PTOL-85. (X)
- A check in the amount of \$1,030.00 to cover the issue fee, publication fee, and advanced order of (X) copies is enclosed.
- Comment On Statement Of Reasons For Allowance. (X)
- (X) The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 11-1410.
- Return prepaid postcard. (X)

Andrew M. Douglas Registration No. 51,212 Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404





### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

: Wayne Carmona

Appl. No.

10/630,132

Filed

: July 30, 2003

For

FLEX GRIP FIXTURE CLAMP

Examiner

Anita M. King

Group Art Unit

3632

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

November 3, 2005

(Date)

Andrew M. Douglas, Reg. No. 31,212

# COMMENT ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

## Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowance of this application.

In the Notice of Allowance, the Examiner states the primary reasons for the allowance of the claims in this application are the limitations of a flex grip fixture clamp "wherein a progressive support clamp is actuatable via a handle from an open position to a support member clamp position, the support member clamp position precluding movement of the fixture clamp with respect to a support member and allowing rotation of a ball, and wherein the progressive support clamp is further actuatable to a full clamp position, the full clamp position fixing the position of the ball." Notice of Allowance, P.2. The Examiner also states that these limitations are "essentially included in independent claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 11, and 17-21." Id.

Applicant disagrees with this statement to the extent there is any implication that the limitations quoted from the *Reasons For Allowance* are limitations of all of the claims. For example, this language does not appear in Claim 11, which recites a method for positioning an accessory, the method comprising:

10/630,132

July 30, 2003

providing a flex grip fixture clamp comprising a progressive support clamp, a handle, an accessory clamp, and a ball joint that interconnects the progressive support clamp and the accessory clamp, the ball joint comprising a ball that is at least partially received by the progressive support clamp and a link member that extends to and is coupled with the accessory clamp;

coupling an accessory with the accessory clamp;

actuating the flex grip fixture clamp to an open position to enable the progressive support clamp to be coupled with a support member;

actuating the handle to actuate the flex grip fixture clamp to a support member clamp position, to clamp the support member without clamping the ball joint; and

actuating the handle to actuate the flex grip fixture clamp to a full clamp position, wherein the support member clamps the ball joint so that the position of the ball is fixed.

Additionally, Applicant disagrees with the Reasons For Allowances to the extent there is any implication that patentability rest on a single limitation or a subset of the limitation of a claim because it is the unique combination of limitations recited in each claim that makes the claims patentable.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: November 3, 2005

Registration No.

of Record

Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404

2045367 // 110305